

# **Infection Control Survey in Nephrology Services**

Dr Vivien CHUANG Infection Control Branch Centre for Health Protection 17<sup>th</sup> January 2010





## **Survey Objectives**

- To collate information on the current practices in renal centres to share their good clinical practices and to understand their limitations
- To liaise with the stakeholders and seek their expert opinions to facilitate formulation of the Infection Control Guideline in Nephrology Service



## Recruitment



- As of May 2008, 27 Renal / Dialysis centres in public, private and charitable sector were identified
- Questionnaires were distributed via postage to above 27 eligible renal / dialysis centres

#### • The response rate was 92.6% (25/27)

Health Care Sector	No. of Dialysis centre	Response rate
Public sector under Hospital Authority	13	100% (13/13)
Private hospital	8	87.5% (7/8)
Community centre	6	83.3% (5/6)





衛生署 Department of Hea

## The questionnaire

- 1. Vascular access
- 2. Reuse of disposable hemodialysers
- 3. Serology testing
- 4. Surveillance programme for MDROs & CABSI
- 5. Staff Training
- 6. Selected infection control practices

								Centre for rieal
	Sarvey on Infection	Courrel in R	easl Dislyii: Us	ûr.		13	Ð	SBR49
	General Date: 19 May 200	i						and the second s
			a totatio	Canton	1 P	Distante	TTute	
		Survey (	an intectio	a contre	i m Kei	ai Diatysis	Umt	and the state of the
2	Objectives: To mide	estand proce	ditres for prave	ined to nothe	th care asso	casted intechous	in Dealy	sat Omi (Cente
Nan	na of Centre / Unit		+		- Number	a of printing staff.		1
			10.00			an same san	0	Asilani/Narma Suffy
Fles	ne fill the appropriate b	iem "D" na	tick II					
r	Types of service provid Elementalished (H) DD CAPD Ress Transform	led D) Anule F	D service	8003	/uestb			
1	Number of patients res	sering (ons a langshabais D APD emo-HD	nek istornil) (HII)					
2.	Varcination program (	(aldeliave						
1	HB/	Tut	hones Sev	photostate photo	minur 10	them the second second	fy:	
	Suff I		0		-			
d.	Pares 2					152	-	
25	Number of traifs recen	red relevant a	LYCHING OC. LADLA	anger contrast	The saleches	cosiciol	-	
		iris Title	=	Ceg	saining Dash		Ξ	Course Zenod
đ.	Weich type of instituty Dilystast building Different control Different pro- Different pro- Different pro- Different pro-	contra paria on designing & provention no desser er promindend i non metand i	Section control o (spectra and pro on Noral borne) als public math sectal Services	lo you waar to xeelizes pathogens impart e.g. A e.g. MRSA, V	i ceceive: iina Fin, CA RE	Aff2		
Ť.	Patient regular secolog	y screening						
	⊡ %e Ulyes please	anwa the S	diswing.	1		Same V		
	ITTAL	Baseline	Reisimirem	Marility	Scoulity	5mmildy	Trafy	Other
	BCV	6		8	-	ä	0	
	EV	9	2			8	9 [	9
	Dilan				-	1 8 1	-	- 8
	Terrere terrere ter	Aunt					-	
-	Norther of parints pro	settly using		0	leiter - cilli	d ( con cuttion )		
			_	An	ifical OnB			
			_	-tia	ires Estutes			
9.	Policies and Procedure Infection control guid Counting counted and	e) dint is / acc 4 l'omingency	estisitio estis	-	T Yes	I Do		
	intections clients	ion ng. SAR	S. Avine Flu	0	1 1/10	II the		
	= third spillings				T. Act	⊒ Mo		
		Interface	Cupilifiant Cu	Prepared for the Headdo Print	cam Deserve	auf lines		
		<u></u>	Gastificat	Canadiana, Ungal	al Audatory			
				Ten fart				tastic fast



- All the surveyed dialysis centres provided hemodialysis service to their patients
- CAPD and IPD were available in the public hospitals only.
- All renal transplant surgeries were done in four renal centres in public hospitals





## **Intravascular Access**

The Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS)

- The primary risk factor for access infection
- Risks 1 : IV Catheters > Grafts > arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs)
- Synthetic grafts 1 2 times of intervention or failure rate > AV fistulae
- 50% of the synthetic grafts required some intervention during 1 year, compared to 32% for AV fistulae.
- Use of a catheter > 1.6-fold failure rate than AV fistula.
- The total annual global cost of vascular access morbidity has been estimated to account for 14% to 17% per haemodialysis year.



# Types of Intravascular Access in different countries

\* Chronic kidney disease best practice 2004 # National surveillance of Dialysis –associated infection in United State, 2002

Country	Intravascular access				
	AV Fistula	AV Graft	IV Catheter		
			Cuffed	Non-cuffed	
Hong Kong (n=1256)	54%	9%	20%	17%	
Japan*	65%	3%	32%	-	
USA#	32.7%	41.6%	23.2%	2.4%	
Europe *	67%	8%	3%	22%	







#### **Reuse of Artificial Kidney/ Haemodialyzers in Renal Centre**



Dialyser is not reused for HBsAg positive patients in Hong Kong





# **Reuse of artificial kidney/ haemodialyzers in different sectors (Public, Private and community Center)**





## Number of times of reuse of Artificial Kidney/ Haemodialyzers





### **Reuse of Artificial Kidneys**

Country	Single use	Reuse
НК	60% (13/25)	40% (10/25)
USA *	37%	63%
*National Surveillance of Dialysis Associated Diseases in the United States, 2002		

- 6.3.5 Written protocol should be available for documentation of the reprocessing procedures of dialyzer, including the disinfectant used, rinsing procedures and total removal of disinfectant after rinsing procedure by using an appropriate testing method (40).
- 6.3.6 Each dialyzer should be clearly labeled and identifiable. It should be reused by the same patient (40).
- 6.3.7 The water standard for reprocessing the dialyzer should be as pure as the dialysate (microbial count should be less than 100 CFU/mL) (69)
- 6.3.8 Follow manufacturer's recommendation on sanitization of the reprocessing machine.



# Reusable dialyzers are properly labeled and identifiable









#### **<u>Risk</u>** of transmission of Blood Borne Pathogens

I	Blood Borne	General	Exposure risk	
	Pathogens	Population (H.K.)*	Percutaneous exposure	
	HBV			
a)	HBeAg +ve	8%	37%-62% (acute:22-31%)	
b)	HBeAg -ve		23%-37% (acute:1-6%)	
	HCV	0.2%-0.3%	1.8% (0-7%), hollow-bore needle	
	HIV	<0.1%	0.3% (0.2-0.5%)	

\* Prevention. March 2003Recommendations on the Management and Postexposre Prophylaxis of Needlestick Injury or mucosal Contact to HBV, HCV and HIV. Scientific Committee on AIDS, Scientific Working Group on Viral Hepatitis

# Updated U.S. Public Health Service Guidelines for the Management of Occupational Exposures to HBV, HCV, and HIV and Recommendations for Postexposure Prophylaxis. 2001





# **Serology testing for HD patients**

Serology testing	<b>Current Practice</b>	<b>Recommendations</b> *
HBV		
Baseline	100%	R
Monthly / 6-monthly	26%	-
Annually	70%	R

- 1. Non –responder s who are susceptible to HBV infection should be tested for HBsAg 6-monthly
- 2. No FU testing for patient with natural HBV infection

HCV		
Baseline	95%	R
6-monthly	4%	R
Annually	83%	-
HIV		
Baseline	83%	R
Routine testing	30%	NR

\*Infection Control Guideline on Nephrology Service in Hong Kong



### **Practice of Physical Isolation for Infectious Diseases in Renal**





Types of infectious	Phy	Not physically		
disease	Single room	Cohorting	Corner bed	isolated (%)
HBV	7/23 (30%)	8/23 (35%)	7/23 (30%)	1/23 (4% )
HCV	4/23 (17%)	6/23 (26%)	12/23 (52%)	1/23 (4%)
HIV	0/23 (0%)	2/23 (9%)	11/23 (48)	10/23 (43%)
MRSA		17/25 (68%)		8/25 (32%)
VRE	4/23 (17%)	1/23 (4%)	9/23 (39%)	14/23 (39%)



#### **Designated Machine to:**



#### **HBV** patients



All centers disinfect HD machine after each shift according to the manufacturer's recommendation



## Surveillance Program





65% of the surveyed centers have the following two SP:1.MRSA Screening program2.Catheter-related blood stream infection





## **Others Surveillance in some centers**

- Staff Sick Leave
- MDRO surveillance eg. ESBL
- Percutaneous catheter-related infection surveillance (HD)







# **Staff received relevant training on Infection Control**

	No. of existing staff in renal centre	Total no. of Staff received relevant training on infection control
Community centre (n=5)	37	18 (49%)
Private (n=7)	62	25 (40%)
Public (n=13)	443	374 (84%)
TOTAL	542	417 (77%)





### **Training Needs**







### **Infection Control Practice – Hand Hygiene**





#### **Infection Control Practice – Handling of blood spillage**

- Only 21% of centers perform correct disinfection procedures for blood spillage by using 10,000 ppm household bleach for 10 minutes
- Most common misconception is using inappropriate concentration of bleach and contact time



### **Infection Control Practice – Medications**

TABLE 13. Place where injectable medications were prepared and association with incidence of HBV and HCV infection in patients, 2002, United States

Place where medication drawn up into syringe	HBsAg incidence, <sup>a</sup> n/total (%)	Anti-HCV incidence, <sup>b</sup> n/total (%)
Dedicated medication room or medication preparation area separate from treatment area	29/48,210 (0.06)	230/67,638 (0.34)
Dialysis station	5/3896 (0.13)	19/5982 (0.32)
Medication cart or medication area located within the treatment area	75/28,241 (0.27)*	160/44,506 (0.36)

<sup>a</sup>Analysis limited to centers that have at least one prevalent case of HBV infection.

<sup>b</sup>Analysis limited to centers that test for anti-HCV and have at least one prevalent case of HCV infection.

\*p < 0.05 compared with dedicated medication room or medication preparation area separate from treatment area.



70% of the centres have the designated area for medication preparation

- e. Medications packaged as multi-dose preparations should be prepared in the designated clean area and deliver separately to each patient.
- f. Medications taken to the patient care area or dialysis station should be used only for that patient and should not be returned to a common clean area or used on other patients.

Renal GL 2010

Department of

## **Infection Control Practices**





#### Proper disposal of wastes



#### Appropriate PPE



#### Safe sharp disposal





# Acknowledgment



#### **Renal Working Group Members**





# Acknowledgment

#### **HA hospitals**

# Private hospitals & charitable centers

- 1. Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital
- 2. Prince of Wales Hospital
- 3. Princess Margaret Hospital
- 4. Queen Elizabeth Hospital
- 5. Queen Mary Hospital
- 6. Tuen Mun Hospital
- 7. Tung Wah Hospital
- 8. Yau Ma Tei Satellite Centre
- 9. United Christian Hospital

- 1. Canossa Hospital (Caritas)
- 2. Hong Kong Renal Centre Limited
- 3. Hong Kong Sanatorium & Hospital





# Thank You

